

	Offeror Question	RFP paragraph and page # that relates to Offeror's question:	Answer or solution (if any)
1.	Is there an incumbent currently performing this work, and if so, who is the incumbent?	General	Yes, there are three current vendors for the current OMNIBUS requirements: SAIC, SYTEX, and Intelligence Enterprise Joint Venture
2.	Is there historical information available on the number, size and scope of previous task orders? Can we access this information?	General	Yes. That information may be accessed via the Freedom of Information Request process, but due to the review, redaction and processing time, likely would not be available prior to the closing of the RFP.
3.	Where is the Contractor SCIF required to be located to best support the customer, and what type of work/number of contractor/Government FTE's that will be working in that SCIF? Will the Government be sponsoring any equipment to be located in the SCIF, and if so what type of equipment and how much space is required?	L-9.2 Page 74	A SCIF is required to store and process documentation and for specifically required software development and storage. It is anticipated no more than 15 FTE's will be at the contractor SCIF. The Government has no preference to a locality. No equipment will be furnished.
4.	Will all FTE's performing on this effort be working on-site in Government facilities? If not, how many are expected to be working in contractor facilities and where do these facilities need to be located to best support the customer?	General	At present all FTE's will be located at Government facilities or deployed with units except for the above 15 FTEs (question 3) to be at the contractor SCIF.

5.	The reference made to contract type indicates either CPFF term or “completion Level of Effort” task orders. Did the government intend to indicate both Completion and Level of Effort, or just Level of Effort?	B.1 (page 5)	Two types of task orders may be issued 1. Level of Effort/ Term orders or 2. Completion orders. The government will determine the type in the Task Order solicitation process.
6.	The government states that “hazardous duty pay and war zone pay” are considered non-labor costs. What does the government suggest if the contractor’s disclosure statement treats these costs as labor, rather than non-labor?	B.2 (page 5)	The government does not desire to adversely affect accounting procedures, however the government does not desire to pay fee on hazardous duty pay and war zone pay. The contractor may propose the costs as ODC or labor as long as it is proposed without fee.
7.	There is conflicting information regarding whether or not Data will be separately priced in the last sentence of B.2 and paragraph B.3. Will the government please clarify?	B.2 and B.3 (page 5)	Data is not to be separately priced. There is no Data CLIN. See Amendment 0001
8.	The referenced DCID 1/14 has been superseded by DCID 6/4	H.3.e. (page 19)	The paragraph is updated to reference DCID 6/4. See Amendment 0001
9.	The referenced DCID 6/4 addresses Personnel Security Standards and is not appropriate to a discussion of a facility clearance.	L-9.1 (page 74)	The paragraph is updated to reference DCID 6/9 Physical Security Standards. See Amendment 0001
10.	There are conflicting instructions on the page limits for the sample task responses. In L-11.4 (a) the requirement is stated as “shall not exceed ten pages” and	L-11.4 (a) (page 76), L-13.2.1 Subfactor 1.4 (pages 82 & 83)	L-11.4 is changed to reflect ten pages for technical capability and 3 pages for management plan for each of the two sample tasks.

	may either apply to each sample task or to both combined. In Section L-13.2.1 Subfactor 1.4a and 1.4b, the page limits are given as 10 pages for technical capability and 3 pages for management plan and these limits are specified for each sample task for a combined 26 pages of response.		See Amendment 0001
11.	In most of the referenced paragraphs, the RFP indicates that resumes for key personnel should appear in Volume I. However, L-11.4 (b) indicates that resumes for key personnel should appear in Volume II.	L-11.4 (a) and (b) (page 76), L-13.2 Subfactor 1.3 (page 79), L-13.2.1 Subfactor 1.3 (page 82), M-7.2 Subfactor 1.3 (page 97), M-7.3.1 Subfactor 1.3 (page 99)	Move the sentence from the end of paragraph L-11.4 (b) to the end of paragraph L-11.4 (a) The resumes shall be submitted with Volume I. See Amendment 0001 to L-11.4(b)
12.	The paragraph indicates that questionnaires shall not exceed two pages per reference, yet the questionnaire provided is more than two pages in length without any data entered into it. In particular, the required responses to “Description of Contract” and “How does contract relate to the OMNIBUS contract” will require significant expansion of the space provided. In addition, the page restriction may limit the response a Government POC can provide.	L-11.4 (c) (page 76), L-13.2.3 (page 79)	The requirement is modified to allow Part 1 of the questionnaire to be up to 2 pages in length and a 5 page limit for part 2. See Amendment 0001
13.	There is no indication as to what font size is acceptable in graphics, tables, and charts.	L-11.4 (f) (page 77)	A 10 pt. font for tables and readable text in graphics and charts is acceptable. See Amendment 0001

14.	Please describe the specific elements that comprise the evaluated cost of the offeror's proposal. There is an implication in reading L.13.2.1, M.7.3.4(c) and the excel formats in Figure 2-6 that the government's table of 215 FTE's should be estimated in the cost proposal along with the two sample task orders. Please clarify so that all offerors understand the required elements of the total evaluated cost.	L-13.2.1 (page 81) and M.7.3.4(c) (page 102)	<p>The Sample Tasks do not require pricing. The FTE's are provided as historical information only and were utilized under a Time and Materials (T&M) environment. This contract is performance based CPFF and while the FTE's are a reasonable estimate of effort it is not provided as specific direction. The contract ceiling has been provided in Section B.</p> <p>Note that FTE's shall now be 216 based upon adding the Senior System Security Administrator (see question 15)</p>
15.	There is no Senior Security Administrator in the Labor Category table, which is identified as key personnel.	L-13.2.1 Subfactor 1.2 Figure 1 (pages 81 & 82) and L-13.2.1 Subfactor 1.3 (page 82)	<p>Add the Senior System Security Administrator to the FTE table.</p> <p>See Amendment 0001</p>
16.	The clearance requirements for proposed key personnel indicates they must hold a Top Secret SCI/SBI clearance. SBI appears to be a typographic error.	L-13.2.1 Subfactor 1.3 (page 82)	<p>This is a typographic error and is changed to read, " to require Top Secret SCI/SSBI clearance".</p> <p>See Amendment 0001</p>
17.	Will the successful contractor's total evaluated cost become the ceiling for awarded IDIQ contracts?	N/A	No, the government has already provided the contract ceiling.

18.	Section B contains three clauses related to fee (B.6, B.7, and B.8). It is	B.6-8; Page(s) 6-7	Any fee adjustments will be based on individual task orders.
-----	--	--------------------	--

	<p>our understanding based on the RFP that both CPFF term, and CPFF completion LOE task orders may be issued. Will fee adjustments be based on individual task orders, or as Clause B.7 implies be based on the CLIN contract year? If based on the total for a CLIN how will the difference between term tasks and LOE tasks be measured for fee adjustment?</p>		<p>B.7 does not imply fee adjustment based on the CLIN contract year because there is no funding at the contract level. It would apply to the CLIN(s) utilized on an individual order at the Task Order funding level. The Task Order solicitation and type will be decided by the government.</p>
19	<p>References clause INSCOM-D-001, Packing & Marking (Dec 1998). Where can we obtain a copy of this clause?</p>	<p>Section D; Page 13</p>	<p>The text clause is provided and reads as follows: “ Unless otherwise specified, items and data shall be preserved, packaged, packed and marked only to the extent necessary to assure carrier acceptance and safe delivery to destination at the lowest rates in compliance with the requirements of the carrier rules and regulations applicable to the mode of transportation.” See Amendment 0001</p>
20.	<p>Sample Tasks 1 and 2 do not list a period of performance.</p>	<p>Attachments 4&5; Page(s) 56-61.</p>	<p>The period of performance can be considered to be one year for the Task Orders.</p>
21.	<p>Paragraph L-11.4 (b) states that the key personnel resumes should be included in the Management Proposal. However, Paragraph L-13.2— Factor/Subfactors— includes the Resumes for Key Personnel under</p>	<p>L-11.4 (b); Page 76</p>	<p>Include the key personnel resumes in the technical proposal (Volume 1) since they are being evaluated under the technical factor. See Amendment 0001</p>

	Factor 1.0—Technical.		
22.	<p>Paragraph L-11.4(c) states that the Past Performance Proposals shall consist of two sets for each one of the points of contacts/reference, and each questionnaire should not exceed two pages per reference. It is not clear what is required for the Past Performance Submission. Additionally, the Past Performance Questionnaire form, provided on pages 86 through 88 exceeds two pages. Please clarify what is required to be submitted in the Past Performance Volume, and please clarify the page limits for the Past Performance Questionnaire.</p>	L-11.4(c); Page 76	<p>The two sets consist of an evaluation from the Government POC and a self-evaluation by the Offeror.</p> <p>The requirement is modified to allow Part 1 of the questionnaire to be up to 2 pages in length and a 5 page limit for part 2.</p> <p>See Amendment 0001</p>
23.	<p>Clause L-13.2.1, Figure 1, has 56 category positions for FTE personnel. Does INSCOM have descriptions for these positions? If not, how will the proposed prices for these positions be evaluated if there is no standard?</p>	L-13-.2.1; Page 80	<p>This is not a T&M contract and labor rates are not to be included in the contract. All orders will be performance based and will be based on a specific requirement. If labor categories from an offeror are not exactly the same description as identified in the FTE table the offeror shall crosswalk their closest labor category to the one identified by the government and include a brief description of the positions responsibility. This will allow the government to get a rate verification from DCAA when</p>

			performing the cost analysis.
24.	Subfactor 1.4 refers to Section C.2.5.1 in the Sample PBSW under Factor 1 for Sample Task 1. There is no Section C.2.5.1 in the Sample PBSW. Please clarify the reference in Subfactor 1.4.	L-13.2.1; Page 83 M-7.3.1; Page 99	Should refer to Section C.2.3.1. Change “2.5.1” to “2.3.1” See Amendment 0001
25.	The cost proposal instructions request “costs/hours associated with the pricing of all OMNIBUS requirements (as identified under Section L13.2.1 Factor 2.0)” Section L-13.2.1 Factor 2.0 does not provide information concerning hours/costs or OMNIBUS requirements. Please clarify the cost information that the government is requesting. When providing cost spreadsheets, is it appropriate to use the sample task estimates or the FTE staff estimate, or both?	L-13.2.4; Page 89	Sample tasks are not required to be priced. The total ceiling has been provided as well as the historical FTE’s to use as estimates for costing.
26.	Would INSCOM consider one of the small business prime awards being a set-aside for SDVO?	general	INSCOM synopsisd a Sources Sought 27 FEB 2004 to make a decision on small business set-asides. No capable Small Disadvantaged Veteran Owned Small Business responded at that time so the Acquisition Strategy was developed to make the set-aside portion for small business and not specifically a SDVOSB. However, INSCOM has added FAR 52.219-7 clause to the RFP to maximize

			the government's flexibility for awarding a contract to a Small Business. See Amendment 0001.
27.	Clause in Para (a) references "...paragraph (i) of this clause." There is no paragraph (i) referenced. (Paragraph (i) is the allocation of funds schedule.) Is this is a typographical error, and does the Government wish to incorporate the full clause?	Section I, contract clauses, references FAR 252.232-7007, Limitation of Government's Obligation (Aug 1993), Paragraph (a), pages 54-55	No. RFP is amended to delete FAR Clause 252.232-7007 para (i). See Amendment 0001.
28.	Section H-2 has a reference that appears to be inaccurate. Shouldn't the reference in Section H2 on page 18 refer to "H.1 Task Order Instructions," instead of "H.24 Task Order Instructions?"	H – 2, page 18.	Yes. Change to read, "... are identified in H.1 Task Order Instructions." See Amendment 0001.

29.	The evaluation factor for Sample Task 2 (Force Management Support) Factor 1 (Technical Capability) references Section C.2.3.1 in the PBWS. This paragraph concerns the Intelligence, Security, and IO section of the PBWS. Should this reference C.2.2.1 under Force Management instead?	M-7.3.1, subfactor 1.4b (Sample Task 2), Factor 1 (Technical Capability) page 100	Yes. Reference in M from C.2.3.1 is changed to read C.2.2.1 as it concerns Force Management Support. See Amendment 0001
30.	The proposal format says “Labor costs ...(as identified under Sections L-13.2.1 Factor 2.0). Should this say “Factor 1.0”? Factor 2.0 is in paragraph L-13.2.2.	L-13.2.4 Cost Evaluation Factor 4.0 (a), page 89	Yes. The reference is changed to Factor 1.0. See Amendment 0001.
31.	Section L-11.4, Proposal format, does not provide guidance on the location of Staffing/Contract Start-Up Plan. Section L-11.4 (b) states that resumes are included in this section (Management proposals). Section L-13.2 includes Technical Factors for Staffing/Contract Start-Up Plan and resumes for Key Personnel. These items (plan and resumes) would appear to be management activities. Does the Government wish the offeror to include these two sections in the Management Volume?	L-11.4 page 76 L-11.4 (b) page 76 L-13.2, pages 79-84 M-7.3.1, pages 98-99 M-7.3.2, page 100	Section L-11.4 (b) is revised as shown in Amendment 0001. The resumes should be submitted with the technical volume.
32.	The line for G&A, which would nominally appear after the “Total OH & Fringe” line appears to have been left off the table. The other exhibits, Figures 2 and 3, include G&A. To be consistent, shouldn’t	L-13.2.4 Cost Evaluation Factor, Factor 4.0 Cost Realism, Figure 4, page 93.	Yes. the Figure exhibit format is changed to include a G&A line. See Amendment 0001

	there be a G&A line in this exhibit?		
--	--------------------------------------	--	--